GREENFIELD COMMUNITY PRESERVATION ACT PROJECT SCORING

This form is used by each CPC member during the evaluation of each application to assist in the application selection decision process.

Date	
Name _	
Applicati	on Number #2023
•	et Scoring ajor criterion will be rated on a scale of 1-5 (1=lowest and 5=highest)
Function	<u>i</u>
	 Meets goals and objectives laid out in current Master Plan, Open Space and Recreation Plan, or Vulnerability Preparedness Plan: = Project not included in a City plan and has many questions to be answered. = Project not included in a City plan, but has some creative solutions to a community problem that is mentioned in a City plan = Project explicitly called for in a City plan as a priority.
	 2. Multiple funding sources: 1 = No non-Greenfield CPA funding or any alternative funding or in kind contributions 3 = 25% non-Greenfield CPA funding, alternative funding or in kind contributions 5 = 50 % or more non-Greenfield CPA funding, alternative funding or in kind contributions.
	 3. Alternatives if CPA request is not fully funded 1 = Unlikely to move forward without full CPA funding 3 = Provides ideas for alternative funding 5 = Clear plan to obtain alternative or modified plan
<u>Value</u> :	
	 Overall application quality and urgency of project: 1 = no rush - can do it at any time, not a high priority in Greenfield plans 3 = the sooner the better, a recognized need 5 = Once-in a generation opportunity to meet a recognized need in a significant or innovative way.

	2. Applicant provided evidence of community support:1 = none
	3 = only individuals or organizations directly related to the project
	5 = Broad and strong community support as demonstrated by support letters or funding.
	3. Thoroughness of planning:
	1 = many issues still to be resolved
	3 = is possible, but needs some work to show how work is going to be done
	5 = ready to go
	4. Benefit to the community:
	1 = benefiting primarily a particular part or population of the community
	3 = has limited benefits to entire community
	5 = will be of great benefit to the entire community
	5. Enhancement of health, increases access to nature, improves work or educational environment of community:
	1 = is a wonderful addition but does not enhance any of these subject areas 3 = adds to these subject areas of the immediate geographical community or a section
	of the overall population
	5 = would greatly enhance these subject areas for a significant segment of the city population
	6. Innovation and creativity:
	1 = Good idea that has been considered previously
	3 = Previously considered and supported response to concern but has not been approved
	5 = Innovative response to a concern, could enhance community in new ways
	BONUS POINTS
	Benefits an underserved or marginalized population (5 pts) Y/N
Total Sco	re = Function + Value + Bonus =